

Comment Set C.97: Charles Crandall

From: Chuck Crandall [mailto:chuxranch@adelphia.net]
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 4:55 PM
To: antelope-pardee@aspenerg.com
Subject: Antelope-Pardee 500-kv Transmission Project

I am writing this to inform you of my opposition to the proposed Alt. 5 Antelope-Pardee Sierra Pelona Re-route.

C.97-1

As a property owner in Leona Valley, this proposed route would adversely affect my property. I have worked 36 years developing my ranch and am counting on the value of this enterprise to support my retirement.

More than just property value and visual detriment this route as presented in your Environmental Impact Report/Statement (DRAFT) would have a negative impact on the valley and its residents. Ref. the following:

“H-6: Runoff introduced as a result of permanent Project features would cause the overloading of local storm water drainage system”

C.97-2

“L-3: Operation of the project would cause long term disruption of existing land uses.”

C.97-3

“L-6: The right-of-way expansion and larger 500kv towers would permanently preclude use of Farmland.”

“S-3: Construction activities could cause a decrease in revenues for agricultural land owners.”

C.97-4

“P-2 Operational activities could increase demands on fire and police protection.”

C.97-5

These are only a few of the potential financial and safety losses we would incur.

As you may not be aware, the Leona Valley community is considered the Bel-Air of Antelope Valley. While it is still a rural A-2 area, many new homes have been and are being built that are starting at \$1,000,000. Large estates, quiet rural living and a life style that many would like to move into, if they could afford the cost, are becoming the new American Dream. To destroy this image is an unconscionable waste of public resources when the original proposed route by SCE would have no negative impact on our area.

C.97-6

There are important environmental issues to consider in any of the proposed routes. I submit that the original route planned would consequently have no more or less environmental impact. The cost, which would ultimately be passed on to the consumer, would not be as great.

Charles Crandall
10650 Leona Ave.
Leona Valley 93551

Response to Comment Set C.97: Charles Crandall

C.97-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion on Alternative 5.

The comments below were the same as those of Comment Set C.58.

C.97-2 See response to Comment C.58-5.

C.97-3 See response to Comment C.58-6.

C.97-4 See response to Comment C.58-6.

C.97-5 See response to Comment C.58-8.

C.97-6 Please see General Response GR-4 regarding the identification and development of alternative routes.